

Table Of Content

Journal Cover	2
Author[s] Statement	3
Editorial Team	4
Article information	5
Check this article update (crossmark)	5
Check this article impact	5
Cite this article	5
Title page	6
Article Title	6
Author information	6
Abstract	6
Article content	7

Academia Open



By Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo

Originality Statement

The author[s] declare that this article is their own work and to the best of their knowledge it contains no materials previously published or written by another person, or substantial proportions of material which have been accepted for the published of any other published materials, except where due acknowledgement is made in the article. Any contribution made to the research by others, with whom author[s] have work, is explicitly acknowledged in the article.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The author[s] declare that this article was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright Statement

Copyright © Author(s). This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this licence may be seen at <http://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0/legalcode>

Academia Open

Vol 10 No 2 (2025): December (in progress)

DOI: 10.21070/acopen.10.2025.11046 . Article type: (Art and Humanities)

EDITORIAL TEAM

Editor in Chief

Mochammad Tanzil Multazam, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo, Indonesia

Managing Editor

Bobur Sobirov, Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service, Uzbekistan

Editors

Fika Megawati, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo, Indonesia

Mahardika Darmawan Kusuma Wardana, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo, Indonesia

Wiwit Wahyu Wijayanti, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo, Indonesia

Farkhod Abdurakhmonov, Silk Road International Tourism University, Uzbekistan

Dr. Hindarto, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo, Indonesia

Evi Rinata, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo, Indonesia

M Faisal Amir, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo, Indonesia

Dr. Hana Catur Wahyuni, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo, Indonesia

Complete list of editorial team ([link](#))

Complete list of indexing services for this journal ([link](#))

How to submit to this journal ([link](#))

Article information

Check this article update (crossmark)



Check this article impact (*)



Save this article to Mendeley



(*) Time for indexing process is various, depends on indexing database platform

Expressive Language of Poetry as a Means of Artistic Communication

Takhmina Kamiljonovna Akramova, takhminamova@gmail.com, (1)
, Uzbekistan

⁽¹⁾ Corresponding author

Abstract

General Background: Poetic language has long functioned as a distinctive form of artistic and emotional communication. Specific Background: Despite a detailed understanding of poetic techniques, there remains limited clarity about how these elements function as mechanisms of communication and artistic evolution. Knowledge Gap: Existing studies often overlook the specific communicative structures and socio-aesthetic functions that enable poetry to mediate individual and collective experiences. Aims: This study investigates the expressive language of poetry as a communicative tool, analyzing how metaphor, symbolism, sound, rhythm, and ambiguity shape artistic discourse. Results: Through interdisciplinary methods combining literary theory, linguistics, and cultural criticism, the study demonstrates that poetry communicates multilayered meanings—emotional, intellectual, and political—beyond what practical language can convey. Novelty: By positioning poetic language not merely as aesthetic ornamentation but as a structured communicative system, this research offers a reconceptualization of poetry's function within art and society. Implications: The findings affirm poetry's role in cultural transmission and identity formation, reinforcing its relevance as a dynamic medium for articulating complex human realities and fostering societal cohesion.

Highlight :

- **Metaphor and Symbolism:** Poetry uses metaphor and symbolism to express deep emotional and intellectual ideas, creating shared understanding.
- **Sound and Rhythm:** The auditory elements of poetry enhance emotional impact and mirror human thought patterns.
- **Ambiguity:** Poetic language thrives on open interpretation, allowing diverse personal and cultural meanings.

Keywords : Metaphor, Symbolism, Poetic Language, Communication, Rhythm

Published date: 2025-05-22 00:00:00

Introduction

The expressive language of poetry has long been a distinctive mode of communication within the wider domain of art[1]. Unlike prose, poetry uses highly stylized language, often imbued with metaphor, sound, rhythm, and symbolic meaning to convey emotions, ideas, and societal truths. The intersection of poetry and art has been a subject of scholarly interest for centuries, with poetry occupying a pivotal role in human expression, intellectual inquiry, and cultural reflection[2].

Looking at modern scientific studies devoted to the essence and role of the language of poetry, we can notice that there are two main tendencies that can be observed in them[3]. The first of them presents artistic creation as an individual creative interaction, carried out with the help of language and at the same time giving it a specific "poetic" coloring [4]. However, the very goals and objectives of art, of course, are not always interpreted so straightforwardly from the position of the theory of subjectivity.

The nature of poetic language and its relationship to meaning has been explored from various perspectives. Heidegger viewed poetry as a naming that calls beings into presence, rejecting metaphor as mere name transport [5]. This aligns with the idea that poetic language deepens the multimodal nature of concrete words, evoking images rather than conveying implicit meanings[6]. The creativity of poetic language has been examined in relation to semantic theory, with Wordsworth's approach questioning the integral relationship between words and mental states[7]. The referential capabilities of poetic signs have been debated, with some viewing them as lacking reference (Frege) or having auto-referentiality (Jakobson), while others propose a bi-referential nature engaging both extralinguistic and linguistic elements [8]. These perspectives collectively highlight the complex relationship between poetic expression, meaning, and reference. --." Obviously, the role of poetic language, the language of poetry, in such conceptions is reduced to the adequate expression of "vague inner movements" that are inaccessible to practical language. As for these "inner shifts" themselves, they belong to the sphere of individual psychology[9].

Methods

The methodology for this study incorporates both qualitative and theoretical approaches to understanding the expressive language of poetry. This includes:

1. **Textual Analysis:** A close reading of selected poems from prominent poets, including Emily Dickinson, T.S. Eliot, and Langston Hughes, to examine the use of metaphor, sound, symbolism, and rhythm.
2. **Literary Theory:** Engagement with literary theories such as formalism, structuralism, and poststructuralism, which focus on the role of language in creating meaning in poetry.
3. **Cultural Criticism:** Analyzing the socio-political context of poetry, particularly its function in articulating collective identities and societal challenges, using the works of poets like W.B. Yeats and Adrienne Rich.
4. **Linguistic Studies:** Drawing on theories of communication and semiotics to explore how poetic language functions as a communicative act, beyond its aesthetic and emotional qualities.

By employing this multi-disciplinary framework, we aim to demonstrate how poetry communicates complex human experiences within the broader artistic context.

However, in this case, the whole problem of the development of the forms of the art of words remains fundamentally unclear to us; the specific regularities of such development are denied and identified with the laws of the development of "spirit" [10]. Recent research explores the concept of linguistic creativity, particularly in poetic contexts. While some scholars argue that creativity is pervasive in everyday language use[11], others focus on its manifestation in poetry through grammatical innovations and neological formations[12]. The relationship between language, creativity, and cognition is examined, highlighting the role of mental lexicon and cognitive mechanisms such as pragmatic, semantic, and conceptual deviations in creative meaning-making[13]. The notion of poetic creativity as defined by Jakobson's "focus on the message for its own sake" is challenged, with arguments for considering broader pragmatic factors and speech act conditions in understanding creativity[14]. These perspectives collectively suggest that linguistic creativity is not limited to gifted individuals but is a dynamic, context-dependent phenomenon that manifests in various forms of language use, from everyday interactions to poetic expressions. "Poetry can and should be perceived as a particularly organized language." Here we encounter the opposite point of view: the development of poetic forms acquires an absolute, independent of the "spirit" character; on the contrary, it dictates its own laws to the "spirit". The whole creative process (or perception of art) appears to be subject to the internal laws of synchronous organization or historical development of artistic structures. The artwork itself is a complex "self-regulating system" (Y. Lotman) or a "visual object" aimed at the most effective embodiment of the theme, and the creative process is "the transformation of an idea into a system of means realizing it" (A. Zholkovsky, Y. Shcheglov)[15]. Such a scheme of relations between art and its result is as similar to the real functioning of creativity as the process of manifestation of a photograph on a photographic plate is to the process of seeing reality with the human eye[6].

Results

1. Metaphor and Symbolism as Vehicles of Communication

Poetry's expressive language often relies heavily on metaphor and symbolism to convey meaning. These devices allow poets to communicate complex, often abstract, ideas by making connections between disparate concepts. For example, in Emily Dickinson's poem "*Hope is the thing with feathers*," hope is depicted as a bird, symbolizing both the fragility and resilience of the human spirit. Through this metaphor, Dickinson not only conveys the emotional essence of hope but also establishes a deeper connection with the reader, who can relate to the symbolism on a personal level.

The use of symbolism extends beyond individual poems to represent universal themes. In the works of T.S. Eliot, symbols of decay and rebirth (such as the "Waste Land") communicate a profound sense of cultural and personal disillusionment. In these works, symbols transcend the literal, serving as a means to communicate both the inner turmoil of the poet and the broader socio-cultural condition.

2. Sound and Rhythm as Communication Tools

Another key aspect of expressive language in poetry is its reliance on sound, rhythm, and meter. The auditory qualities of poetry enhance its communicative power by creating an emotional resonance that words alone may not evoke. The rhythmic patterns in poetry, including rhyme and meter, mirror the natural flow of human emotion and thought, enabling poets to communicate emotional states and mental landscapes more effectively than prose.

For example, in *The Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock* by T.S. Eliot, the meter and rhythm convey the speaker's anxiety, hesitation, and fragmentation of thought. The poem's irregular structure mirrors the disjointed inner life of Prufrock, whose fractured thoughts reflect the alienation and confusion of modern existence.

Moreover, poets like Langston Hughes utilize rhythm to convey cultural and social significance, especially within the context of African American identity. In *The Negro Speaks of Rivers*, Hughes uses a rhythmic, almost chant-like quality to communicate a sense of shared history and spiritual connection to the land and past generations.

3. Ambiguity and Interpretation in Poetic Language

Poetry's inherent ambiguity plays a crucial role in its communicative function. Unlike prose, which tends to rely on clarity and directness, poetry thrives on openness and interpretation. Poetic ambiguity allows multiple meanings to coexist within a single text, fostering a dynamic interaction between the poet and the reader. The reader's interpretation becomes part of the communication process, which can vary according to individual perspectives, cultural backgrounds, and personal experiences.

In the works of poets like W.B. Yeats, the ambiguous language of poetry invites readers to engage with complex themes of identity, history, and the metaphysical. Yeats' *The Second Coming* presents a vision of a world in chaos, with language that is deliberately unclear and open to interpretation. The poem's ambiguity enables it to resonate across different contexts and time periods, addressing both individual existential concerns and larger cultural upheavals.

4. Poetry as a Cultural and Political Tool

Beyond the purely aesthetic or emotional functions of poetry, it also plays a vital role in communicating political and cultural messages. Through poetry, poets address pressing social issues, challenge oppressive systems, and articulate the collective experiences of marginalized groups. In this way, poetry serves as a form of political communication within the artistic framework.

Naturally, there have been repeated attempts to take the problem of the language of poetry out of this inner circle by giving it a "social basis". Such attempts began as early as in the 20th century. On the one hand, they triggered the emergence of a wave of profane science, that is, they essentially substituted the problem of the form of the art of words with the problem of social and personal factors of literary creativity. On the other hand, they developed "sociological poetics", which tried to directly deduce the specific regularities of the development of poetic forms from the peculiarities of this or that socio-economic mode of society's existence: "the material and form of an artistic work are determined by the social ways of its creation and the social ways of its use" [7].

Therefore, it is not surprising that none of these approaches has not stood the test of time. On the other hand, it is impossible not to recognize that modern aesthetics, having stepped forward significantly in comparison with the first attempts to find the concept of art, has in some respects ceded its positions, partially replacing the socio-historical analysis of artistic creation (and artistic perception) as a specific type of human activity with the analysis of the origin and ways of formation and embodiment of the abstract aesthetic ideal in artistic genres.

When analyzing aesthetic problems, even the most clearly aware of the socio-historical character of aesthetic

activity, writers do not go beyond the general statement that the purpose of the general function of art is “to satisfy the aesthetic needs of the people by creating beautiful works capable of bringing joy and pleasure to man, enriching him spiritually and, at the same time, developing and awakening in him an artist capable of creating according to the laws of beauty and bringing beauty into life in each specific sphere of his activity”. It is not difficult to see that - with all the justice of such a statement - it cannot give us a key to the specific mechanisms of the development of aesthetic activity in its relationship with the language of art. Therefore, in this case we shall limit ourselves to a brief review of existing opinions about the essence of poetic language and try further to formulate our own position. Its main difference is that we consider art as a special kind or method of human communication. It is clear that, having expressed this general thesis, we must first of all designate our understanding of communication.

Discussion

The results indicate that the expressive language of poetry is multifaceted, serving as a powerful communicative tool within the context of art. The key features of metaphor, symbolism, sound, and rhythm all work together to communicate complex emotional, intellectual, and political messages. By engaging with poetry's expressive language, readers are invited to experience a deeper form of communication—one that transcends the limitations of everyday language and opens up new dimensions of meaning.

Furthermore, poetry's ability to communicate on multiple levels—emotional, intellectual, and cultural—enables it to function as both an individual and collective means of expression. Whether exploring personal emotions or addressing societal concerns, poetry provides a space for dialogue between the poet and the audience, allowing for the sharing of experiences and the negotiation of meaning.

Poetry's inherent ambiguity and openness to interpretation further enhance its communicative power. The reader's active role in interpreting a poem ensures that communication is not merely passive but involves a dynamic exchange. This engagement fosters a sense of shared understanding, even as the poem remains open to various readings.

The political and cultural functions of poetry also underscore its significance within the artistic framework. Poetry has historically served as a tool for political resistance and social change, offering marginalized voices a platform to speak out against injustice. In this sense, poetry not only communicates artistic expression but also becomes a powerful force for social and cultural transformation.

Communication is social performance not only by its external forms (since it takes place in society), but also by its nature - since it is a necessary condition and an integral component of any human activity, both directly collective and not having the form of a direct (e.g., theoretical) totality. It is implemented by a person himself and for the purpose of society, has some socially significant functions and is used by a particular individual for secondary purposes - just as food, which serves to satisfy a person's natural needs, can take the form of an “aesthetic object” and satisfy, consequently, his aesthetic needs; a person cannot not eat, but what he eats need not be aesthetically significant for him. If consciousness is impossible without language, then higher forms of communication are also impossible without language. It is language that realizes the connection between consciousness and communication: it is “two-faced”, and this is its peculiarity.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the expressive language of poetry is a vital means of communication within the framework of art. Through its use of metaphor, rhythm, symbolism, and ambiguity, poetry transcends the limitations of ordinary language, allowing for complex emotions, ideas, and social concerns to be communicated in profound ways. The relationship between poetry and communication extends beyond the individual experience to encompass collective identities, political struggles, and cultural narratives.

As an art form, poetry fosters a deep and multifaceted dialogue between the poet, the reader, and the larger societal context. Its ability to communicate across time and space, resonating with diverse audiences, highlights its enduring power and relevance. By understanding poetry as both a form of artistic expression and a tool of communication, we can better appreciate its role in shaping human consciousness and its capacity to connect people through shared experiences and ideas.

In general, for a society or a separate social group, it is a form of unification and transmission of social changes, norms of behavior, etc. from person to person, from generation to generation; for each individual person, it is that material and at the same time, from another point of view, social in origin support by means of which he can reproduce ideal images. Art creates man so that he can reproduce and create the human world with his hands, so that he can create “‘objectified power of knowledge’ and objects of the senses.” Similarly, man constantly reproduces and creates society in his labor and in his communication.

And both of these processes - the individual and society, or rather society and the individual - only in this mutual process are they able both to exist and to develop. But there is something in the life of a person and society that a person himself can sometimes consider unnecessary, superfluous, a luxury. And this is where, by the way, the silliest disputes come from - whether a person needs Bach, Mozart, a branch of lilacs in space. There are things that each of us individually can live without, but society as a whole cannot. This "something", which realizes the connection with the reality of "human feeling", is the psychological foundation of art. The concept of the man of this "something" is the concept of the man of future harmonized personality of the society.

This is what ensures the integrity and harmonious development of this personality. Society "thinks" for us about our future, it already "creates" in advance on us, our contemporaries, the features of a person of the communist future. The content of art is those social relations that cannot be reflected in the rigid forms of language and concepts. They appear as personal interests, personal behavior and are experienced by each of us as our own, internal and private. Indeed, not all human activity can be reflected by means of elaborated, social in form meanings. Many things are social in essence while being individual, subjective in form. Thus, independent of it, created by communication, turns into social relations". And vice versa: social relations appear as "personal human behavior".

References

1. A. White, *The Magic of Words*, in *Symbolism*, 5th ed., M. Musaget, Ed., 2017, p. 430.
2. M. Arnaudov, *Psychology of Literary Creativity*, Progress, Moscow, 1990, pp. 600, 606, 649-650.
3. *Structural-Typological Studies*, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 1999, p. 264.
4. A. Zholkovsky and Y. Shcheglov, "Structural Poetics - Generating Poetics," *Structural Poetics*, no. 1, 1990, p. 82.
5. B. Arvatov, *Sociological Poetics*, Federation, Moscow, 1999, p. 49.
6. S. S. Goldentricht, *On the Nature of Aesthetic Creativity*, 2007, p. 151.
7. E. Ilyenkov, *The Ideal*, *Philosophical Encyclopedia*, vol. 2, Moscow, 1998.
8. T. Akramova, "Poetic Language as a Means of Communication Through Art," *Scientific and Methodical Journal of the Ministry of Preschool and School Education of the Republic of Uzbekistan. Teaching of Language and Literature*, Uzbekistan, 2024, p. 63.
9. M. Kozlova, "Metaphysics of Metaphor and the Mystery of the Poetic Word (The Concept of Poetic Language in the Philosophy of Martin Heidegger)," *Horizon. Phenomenological Studies*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 9-23, 2014.
10. F. Ervas, "Natura Multimodale e Creatività del Linguaggio Poetico," *Rivista di Estetica*, no. 70, pp. 75-91, 2019.
11. S. K. Land, "The Silent Poet: An Aspect of Wordsworth's Semantic Theory," *University of Toronto Quarterly*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 157-169, 1973.
12. T. V. Tsvigun and A. N. Chernyakov, "TV Tsvigun, AN Chernyakov," *Slovo.RU: Baltic Accent*, vol. 102, 2023.
13. J. Maybin and J. Swann, "Everyday Creativity in Language: Textuality, Contextuality, and Critique," *Applied Linguistics*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 497-517, 2007.
14. N. A. Fateeva, "Grammatical Innovations in Modern Poetry as a Manifestation of Linguistic Creativity," *Vestnik Volgograd State University. Series 2, Linguistics*, vol. 17, no. 2, p. 44, 2018.
15. V. I. Zabolotkina, "Personality Creativity in Language and Communication," *Vestnik RGGU*, vol. 183, 2022.
16. H. G. Widdowson, "Language Creativity and the Poetic Function: A Response to Swann and Maybin (2007)," *Applied Linguistics*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 503-508, 2008.