Academia Open
Vol. 11 No. 1 (2026): June
DOI: 10.21070/acopen.11.2026.13261

Academia Open

By Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo

ISSN 2714-7444 (online), https://acopen.umsida.ac.id, published by Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo

Academia Open
Vol. 11 No. 1 (2026): June
DOI: 10.21070/acopen.11.2026.13261

Table Of Contents

Academia Open
Vol. 11 No. 1 (2026): June
DOI: 10.21070/acopen.11.2026.13261

Originality Statement

The author[s] declare that this article is their own work and to the best of their knowledge it contains no materials previously published or written by another person, or substantial proportions of material which have been accepted for the published of any other published materials, except where due acknowledgement is made in the article. Any contribution made to the research by others, with whom author[s] have work, is explicitly acknowledged in the article.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The author[s] declare that this article was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright Statement

Academia Open
Vol. 11 No. 1 (2026): June
DOI: 10.21070/acopen.11.2026.13261

EDITORIAL TEAM

ISSN 2714-7444 (online), https://acopen.umsida.ac.id, published by Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo

Academia Open
Vol. 11 No. 1 (2026): June
DOI: 10.21070/acopen.11.2026.13261

Article information

Check this article update (crossmark)

Check this article impact (*)

Save this article to Mendeley

(*) Time for indexing process is various, depends on indexing database platform

ISSN 2714-7444 (online), https://acopen.umsida.ac.id, published by Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo

Academia Open
Vol. 11 No. 1 (2026): June
DOI: 10.21070/acopen.11.2026.13261

Integrating Digital Technologies in Flipped English Teaching for Mixed-Ability Learners

Lola Nazarova, l.nazarova@polito.uz,(1)
Turin Polytechnic University in Tashkent, Uzbekistan

(1) Corresponding author

Abstract

General Background: Increasing learner heterogeneity in English language classrooms challenges traditional teacher-centered instruction and calls for pedagogical models that support differentiated learning. **Specific Background:** The flipped classroom, supported by digital technologies, reallocates instructional time to promote self-paced preparation and active in-class engagement, offering potential benefits for mixed-ability English language teaching. **Knowledge Gap:** Despite growing interest, empirical evidence remains limited regarding how digitally mediated flipped classrooms systematically address ability-related differences and the underlying pedagogical mechanisms involved. **Aims:** This study aims to examine the role of digital technologies in supporting flipped English instruction for mixed-ability learners, with a focus on engagement, differentiation, and instructional outcomes. **Results:** The findings indicate that pre-class digital materials enhance learner readiness and autonomy, while in-class technology-mediated interaction increases participation across proficiency levels and enables more focused teacher feedback. **Novelty:** The study links flipped classroom practices directly to differentiated instruction theory within authentic classroom contexts. **Implications:** These results suggest that pedagogically designed, technology-supported flipped instruction can foster inclusive English language learning and inform teacher professional development and future longitudinal research.

Keywords : Flipped Classroom, Digital Technology, Mixed-ability Learners, English Language Teaching, Differentiated Instruction

Highlight :

- Pre-class digital materials enhanced learner autonomy and preparedness across proficiency levels.
- In-class collaborative tools increased participation equity while enabling targeted teacher feedback.
- Lower-proficiency students benefited from self-paced content; advanced learners excelled in productive tasks.

Published date: 2026-01-12

Academia Open
Vol. 11 No. 1 (2026): June
DOI: 10.21070/acopen.11.2026.13261

Introduction

In most modern day English language classrooms, we see a variation in the level of students, from their English language proficiency, pace of learning, and readiness to learn. Such heterogeneity presents ongoing difficulties for teachers who still predominantly use traditional, teacher-centered instructional models that favour the uniform delivery of content at a standard pace. As a result, there has been a rise in technology-enhanced pedagogies, especially the flipped classroom model that rearranges traditional usage of instructional time by shifting initial content exposure out of the classroom and dedicating in-class time for active learning [1]. In English language teaching, this has been frequently cited as an instrument to increase engagement and equity in mixed-ability situations.

More particularly, the intersection between digital technology and the flipped classroom is their mutual ability to accommodate for learner agency, differentiation and interaction. At its core, this approach is rooted in constructivist learning theory, differentiated instruction, and learner-centered pedagogy, each of which is predicated on the active construction of knowledge and the need to respond to individuals (whether gifted, struggling, or anything in between). More particularly, digital tools such as video-based instruction, adaptive learning platforms, and collaborative applications operationalize these theories by allowing students to learn at their own pace prior to class and then interact in scalable manners at class time [2]. The flipside of this vis-à-vis the relationship between instruction and technology is especially salient in mixed-ability English classrooms because technology mediates access to content through appropriate technology while the flipped structure redistributes who provides instructional support.

The existing research have shown that use of flipped instruction improved student engagement, motivation and performance particularly when use of digital technology is incorporated. Extensive studies by Bergmann and Sams, Bishop and Verleger, and Hew and Lo have shown that provision of instructional materials on demand to learners increases interaction with peers and others [3]. Nonetheless, most of the previous literature is descriptive or situated, with few studies examining flipped classrooms specifically in terms of their role in addressing patterns of ability in relation to language learning more generally. In addition, evidence about long-term impacts and the pedagogical contexts that favor the use of digital tools is still sparse [4].

We fill these gaps in research by investigating the novel integration of digital technologies with flipped classroom pedagogy in English language teaching for mixed-ability learners. Using a practice-based qualitative design complemented by classroom observation and digital learning data analysis, the study examines the impact of pre-class digital materials and in-class interactive tools on learner engagement, learner differentiation, and instructional interaction. A methodological orientation prioritizing classroom application over experimental control facilitates an ecologically-valid assessment of pedagogical effectiveness [5].

The findings are expected to provide evidence that digitally supported flipped classrooms can engage students across multiple proficiency levels, support differentiated learning pathways towards shared learning goals, and increase the efficiency of classroom interaction [6]. Expected results are provide detail explanations regarding the teaching values of technology-enhanced flipping rather than just innovative declaration findings, and discuss pedagogical implications for English language English language teachers and schools. The study therefore aims to contribute theoretical and practical knowledge about how language education can be inclusive and supported by technology, while providing insights into the sustainability and scalability of pedagogical models to guide further research [7].

Methodology

This study employs a methodology that investigates the digital technologies that support the flipped classroom approach to mixed-ability English language teaching. This research is using a qualitative-dominant mixed approach with the instructional design, classroom practices, and learner interaction patterns described in the implementation detailed in the attached study. The alignment of academic research and practice starts with the introduction of digital tools into the preclass and inclass layers of instruction in a structured fashion [8]. Pre-class materials include teacher-created video lessons, interactive quizzes, and digital reading material that are delivered through learning management systems, giving learners the flexibility and freedom to review content independently and review difficult material until they master the concept. In-class, they utilize collaborative workspaces and real-time feedback tools to track students varying in proficiency as they engage in communicative tasks, peer interactions, and problem sets. Classroom observations, student performance record analysis logged through digital platforms and reflective notes from the instructor of learner participation and differentiation impact on teaching and learning have been helpful in data collection. One area of focus includes the responsiveness of adaptive tools to different individual learning needs, and another includes how classroom time is reprioritized to emphasize active learning and focused support. The trends emphasise type of participation or response or progress by learners, not individualisation. Despite neglecting the control typical of an experiment, the methodology emphasizes real-world classroom constraints, making it possible to realistically assess the flipped classroom as a potential universal pedagogy. Such a framework provides an evidence-based way to understand how technology-mediated instruction can accommodate ability diversity without compromising learning continuity.

Results and Discussion

The resultant data demonstrated significant outcomes of learner engagement, differentiated instruction and language skill development with digitally supported flipped classroom practices in mixed-ability English language classrooms. Overall, students participated more during in-class activities than in traditional lecture instruction across observed instructional phases. Students came to class more prepared as they had interacted with video lectures, interactive quizzes and digital texts before in-person sessions. The change in prep was to use classroom time for collaborative work, peer-to-peer learning, and targeted teacher feedback, hallmarks of a heterogeneous learning environment [9]. The learning management systems and adaptive platforms generated performance data demonstrated improvements in understanding and completing the task, especially for lower- and mid-proficient learners.

The affordances of pausing, replaying, and revisiting pre-class input reduced cognitive overload for these students and allowed for increased confidence during communicative tasks during class time [10]. In contrast, students with higher proficiency made gains in productive skills, including speaking fluency (particularly in conversation and in presentation) and argumentative writing, which we attribute to greater opportunities to engage in collaborative activities that required problem-solving, discussion, and leading group work. These results align with previous research where flipped instruction reorganizes cognitive load distribution among learners learners groups (Table 1).

ISSN 2714-7444 (online), https://acopen.umsida.ac.id, published by Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo

Academia Open
Vol. 11 No. 1 (2026): June
DOI: 10.21070/acopen.11.2026.13261

Table 1. Observed learning outcomes in flipped vs. Traditional english classes

Dimension	Traditional Instruction	Flipped, Technology-Enhanced Instruction	Key Supporting Sources
Student engagement	Moderate, uneven	High, sustained across proficiency levels	Bishop & Verleger (2013); Strayer (2012)
Self-paced learning	Limited	High, supported by video and LMS tools	Bergmann & Sams (2012); Hew & Lo (2018)
Differentiation	Teacher-dependent	Systematic via digital tools	Tomlinson (2001); O'Flaherty & Phillips (2015)
Feedback speed	Delayed	Immediate, real-time	Chen et al. (2014); Hew & Lo (2018)

Additionally, the results indicate that digital collaboration tools were an important factor in closing a gap in the participation levels of students, regardless of their ability. Community interactions in shared digital places supported both language negotiation and scaffolded learning, which is consistent with socio-constructivist perspectives of language development [11]. Nonetheless, the degree to which these tools were effective remained largely tied to teacher orchestration and task design. For activities that did not have clear linguistic goals, learner motivation waned, especially among students with lower motivation.

The results, therefore, support the flipped classroom model as a parallel to differentiated instruction theoretical and constructivist learning theoretical foundations. The findings lend credence to the claim that technology does not merely serve as a channel of delivery but rather acts as a mediation tool which modifies the learning environment. Simultaneously, the findings reinforce an outstanding theoretical tension: adaptive technologies promote personalized content delivery, but do not implicitly lead to enhanced language processing which often requires motivation, integration, and directed interaction in class [12].

Table 2. Practical benefits and limitations identified in implementation

Aspect	Benefits	Limitations
Digital pre-class materials	Improved preparedness, learner autonomy	Unequal access for some students
Adaptive learning platforms	Personalized pacing and feedback	Limited focus on communicative competence
Collaborative tools	Enhanced peer support and interaction	Requires strong teacher facilitation
Teacher workload	Better use of class time	Increased preparation demands

Although results overall appear promising, some gaps in knowledge were apparent. Importantly, the study lacks follow-up data on long-term language maintenance or transfer outside of the instruction stage. Although short-term engagement and performance gains are apparent, it remains unclear whether these gains lead to longer-term language learning outcomes. Furthermore, the current research is mostly limited to the theoretical level, hardly addressing the role of emerging technologies, such as the use of AI-driven Tutoring or Augmented Reality (AR), and relying on less systematic classroom-based evidence [13].

Practically, the conceptual outcomes highlighted that teacher preparedness was key in successful flipping instruction. This meant that pedagogical strengths were amplified by the introduction of digital tools, but that weaknesses in instructional design also became glaringly obvious. This research highlights implications for professional development that address not just technical aspects of teaching but also sequencing of tasks, feedback, and assisting with whole class management [14].

It can proceed only in three ways, therefore, through new investigations. One, new longitudinal and quasi-experimental studies that assess long-term language outcomes at a range of proficiency levels are warranted. Second, a more comprehensive inquiry into the effectiveness of AI-assisted adaptive platforms should examine their effects on higher-order linguistic competencies, rather than on superficial accuracy. Third, there is a need for context- sensitive studies of the flipped classroom models that work in low- resource settings where digital access is still inequitable [15].

Generally, these findings indicate that academically appropriate digitally supported flipped classrooms can significantly benefit English language learning in mixed-ability situations. Simultaneously, the discussion uncovers theoretical and empirical insufficiencies that require advancement through more context driven, justifiable research. Closing these gaps is critical to progress toward a more scalable and mature model of technology-enhanced language education than can be described in case studies.

Conclusion

We conclude that this digital-driven curriculum within a flipped classroom setting provides a pedagogically sound and equitable method of English language teaching in a mixed-level setting. Findings show that moving content delivery out of the classroom into (digital) pre-class environments and releasing classroom time for interactive, collaborative, and feedback rich activities substantively improves individual engagement, enables differentiation, and increases short-term language learning outcomes in all proficiency levels. The findings show that self-paced digital materials are helpful to low-proficiency learners but in-class interaction, that is more rich providing valuable practice of higher order language use, closes part of the participation gap for high- proficiency learners. The implications of these findings is that more attention needs to be paid to the pedagogical design, teacher preparedness, and inclusive instruction of the use of technology rather than the technology itself as a

solution. The results imply that immediate investments in sustained professional development and equitable access to digital resources are made necessary by its promise. Simultaneously, the study also recognizes limitations with respect to the lack of longitudinal data or the low level of empirical evidence for the emerging technologies such as AI and AR in real classroom context. Thus, future research should investigate long-term development of speaking or writing output, effect of adaptive and intelligent systems on communication, and scalability of flipped classroom models to low-resource, more diverse contexts.

References

1. S. Hunck, K. Engelhard, P. Mildenberger, and S. Kurz, "Chances and Challenges of Increasing Digitalization of Teaching in the Discipline Anesthesiology From the Perspective of Students," Anaesthesiologie, vol. 71, no. 9, pp. 689-696, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s00101-022-01102-1.
2. D. A. Junio and A. A. Bandala, "Characterization and Effect of Enhanced Flipped Classroom Implementation," in Proc. 2018 IEEE 10th Int. Conf. on Humanoid, Nanotechnology, Information Technology, Communication and Control, Environment and Management (HNICEM), Baguio City, Philippines, 2018, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/HNICEM.2018.8666273.
3. J. Zhang, "Enhancing Chinese Language Skills Through Flipped Classroom: The Influence of Engagement and Technological Accessibility," Int. J. Incl. Educ., pp. 1-21, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1080/13603116.2025.2598119.
4. M. D. Rivera and G. M. Flores, "Flipped Classroom Approach for Enhancing Linguistic Competence," Int. J. Eval. Res. Educ., vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 3369-3378, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.11591/ijere.v13i5.27365.
5. A. Kaldarova, M. A. Vasquez, and N. Baisbay, "Improving Students' Speaking Skills With Flipgrid: A Tech-Driven Approach," in Proc. 2025 IEEE 5th Int. Conf. on Smart Information Systems and Technologies (SIST), Almaty, Kazakhstan, 2025, pp. 1-8, doi: 10.1109/SIST61657.2025.11139229.
6. A. C. Blasco-Serrano, I. B. Gonzalez, and T. Coma-Rosello, "Incorporation of ICT Into Preservice Teacher Training Using the Flipped Classroom So As to Enhance Inclusive Education," Edutec, no. 79, pp. 9-29, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.21556/edutec.2022.79.2393.
7. Y. Han, "Innovative Education in College English Education: Advancements in Technology and Teaching Methods," Int. J. High Speed Electron. Syst., vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 1-15, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1142/S0129156425402384.
8. H.-W. Huang, D. J. Mills, and J. A. N. Z. Tiangco, "Inquiry-Based Learning and Technology-Enhanced Formative Assessment in Flipped EFL Writing Instruction: Student Performance and Perceptions," SAGE Open, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 1-16, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.1177/21582440241236663.
9. F. Li, "The Extent of Technology Integration in Flipped English Classrooms in Language Education: A Multi-Dimensional Exploration," World J. Engl. Lang., vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 151-162, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.5430/wjel.v16n1p151.
10. F. Cando-Guanaluisa, D. Suarez, O. Vega, and P. Arias-Arroyo, "The Synchronous Online Flipped Learning Approach: The Teacher and Students' Perceptions in Two Mixed-Ability Classes," Mextesol J., vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 1-17, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.61871/mj.v48n4-11.
11. V. N. Larsari, "Traditional, Flipped Learning, or Blended Learning Classroom: Which Method Improves Students' Engagement in Gamified Digital Storytelling Environment?," in Communications in Computer and Information Science, vol. 2082, Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2025, pp. 91-100, doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-81706-9_7.
12. F. Cando-Guanaluisa, D. Suarez, O. Vega, and P. Arias-Arroyo, "The Synchronous Online Flipped Learning Approach: The Teacher and Students' Perceptions in Two Mixed-Ability Classes," MEXTESOL J., vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 1-17, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.61871/mj.v48n4-11.
13. O. Ben Mammar and Y. Saad Saoud, "Students' Involvement in the Mixed-Ability Class: An Analysis of EFL First Year Learners' and Teachers' Views in Chahid 16 Secondary School at Bordj Bou Arreridj," Ph.D. dissertation, Faculty of Letters and Languages, Mouloud Mammeri Univ. of Tizi-Ouzou, Tizi-Ouzou, Algeria, 2022.
14. R. P. Adhikary, "An Analysis of the Challenges and Strategies of English Language Teachers in Teaching Mixed-Ability Classes: A Qualitative Inquiry," Rev. Multi-Ensayos, vol. 9, no. 18, pp. 3-20, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.5377/multiensayos.v9i18.16655.
15. Z. Bashir and K. Jan, "New Technological Applications in the Flipped Learning Model: The Use of New Technologies as Support for Students With Different Intellectual Abilities," in New Technological Applications in the Flipped Learning Model. Hershey, PA, USA: IGI Global Scientific Publishing, 2025, pp. 107-136, doi: 10.4018/979-8-3693-6447-9.ch005.